



## Forecasting of geoeffectiveness and Cosmic Ray modulation induced by Corotating Interaction Regions





Hvar Observatory Faculty of Geodesy Zagreb, Croatia



Dumbović, M., Vršnak, B., Heber, B., Temmer, M., Veronig, A.







Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

## **Corotating Interaction Regions (CIR)**



- compression regions formed from the interaction of high- and low-speed solar wind streams
- corotating with the Sun
- Major driver of solar-wind disturbances and geomagnetic storms during solar minimum (low CME activity)



## **CIRs and geoeffectiveness**

- Total impact of CIRs on geoeffectivity can be even larger or comparable to ICMEs - long-lasting and permanent contribution to geomagnetic activity throughout the whole solar cycle (Tsurutani et al., 1995; Vennerstroem, 2001; Tsurutani et al., 2006)
- CIRs can be source of geomagnetic activity for about 70% of time in solar min and about 30% in solar max (1972 1986). For comparison CME-related structures contribute 50% in solar max and <10% outside the solar max (Richardson et al., 2000)</li>
- About 33% of CIRs (1964-2003) at Earth are followed with moderate or intense geomagnetic disturbances, Dst < 50nT (Alves et al., 2006)</li>
- About 47% CIR in solar cycle 23 (1996-2005) produced storms with Dst < 50nT (Zhang et al., 2008)</li>
- 2-5% of CIRs can occasionally alone produce major geomagnetic storms with Dst < 100nT (Alves et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2008)</li>
- Geomagnetic activity is most influenced by the southward component of the IMF, Bs (Gonzalez et al., 1994; Tsurutani and Gonzalez, 1995)

## **Coronal Holes (CH)**

Large CH, May 17 – 19, 2016



- source regions of the highspeed solar wind
- connected with open magnetic field form the Sun
- visible as dark regions in EUV or X-ray observations of the solar corona



## **Coronal holes and solar wind**

Forecasting solar wind high-speed streams (ESWF) http://swe.uni-graz.at/index.php/services/solar-wind-forecast

based on an empirical relation between CH and high speed streams measured at Earth (Vršnak et al. 2007)
CH areas are extracted from EUV images (Krista and Gallagher, 2009; Rotter et al., 2012; Reiss et al., 2015)



## CH area data



- extracted with SOHO EIT 195 Å images
- CHs can be identified with intensity-based thresholding technique (Rotter et al., 2012, Reiss et al. 2016)
- fractional coronal hole area is derived from a central meridional slice (±7.5°) corresponding to the solar rotation within aprox. 1 day
- period of CH data: 1.1.2007 31.12.2010
- 4 measurements per day, 23% missing data



FORECASTING OF GEOEFFECTIVENESS INDUCED BY COROTATING INTERACTION REGIONS | 2ND VARSITI GENERAL SYMPOSIUM | 10 - 15 JUL 2017 | IRKUTSK, RUSSIA

#### CH fractional area, solar wind parameters (v, B, vB<sub>z</sub>) and geomagnetic indices (Dst, ap, AE) during period 2007-2011

Dst index - strength of magnetic disturbance at the dipole equator on the Earth's surface (ring current). ap index - level of the middle latitude magnetic activity during the periods of 3h (derived from Kp). AE index - measure of a global auroral zone magnetic or substorm activity produced by enhanced ionospheric currents flowing below and within the auroral oval (polar electrojet)



## CMEs / ICMEs (2007 – 2011)



SOHO/LASCO CME daily data

30 ICMEs during 2007 – 2011 period based on ICME list from Richardson & Cane, 2010

#### **ICMEs removal**

- 12h before ICME event
  removed
- 72h after ICME event removed
- in total 9.2% data
   excluded (101 days)

#### Superposed epoch analysis (SEA) during period 2007 - 2010

- 52 CIR events selected, SIR list from Jian et al. 2011
- All events centered around key date (t = 0) corresponding to arrival of stream interface
- B<sub>z</sub> and vB<sub>z</sub> as well the enhanced dB between t = -0.5d and t = 2d indicate Alfven wave activity
- ap index shows somewhat faster recovery than AE - more sensitive to smaller magnetic field fluctuations or Alfven wave activity



### Cross-correlation analysis

- Best correlation with solar wind speed, v (r=0.53 at lag=-4d)
- IMF B (r=0.2 at lag=-2.25d), dB (r=0.29 at lag=-3d) and vB (r=0.37 at lag=-3d) show better correlation
- Convection electric field vB<sub>z</sub> (r=0.09) shows no correlation with CH
- ap index (r=0.35 at lag=-3.25d)
- AE index (r=0.31 at lag=-3.5d)
- Dst index (r=-0.24 at lag=-4.5d)



#### CH ratio and solar wind parameters (n, T, v, B, dB, vB)



### CH ratio and geomagnetic indices (Dst, ap, AE)

- Best correlation ap index (r=0.35 at lag=-3.25d), then AE index (r=0.31 at lag=-3.5d) Dst index (r=-0.24 at lag=-4.5d)
- Geomagnetic activity determined by convection electric field (E<sub>y</sub>=-v x B<sub>s</sub>)
- SW speed v relates very good to CH area, however B<sub>z</sub> doesn't show good relation to CH
- v ranges 250-750 km/s (about 3x)
- Bz ranges 0-10 nT (5-10x)

# Major problem: forecast accuracy is limited trough B<sub>z</sub>



## Semiannual variation (Russel-McPherron effect)

- dependence of geoeffectivity on different seasons (Russell and McPherron, 1973)
- in the period around the spring equinox the effect of negative polarity CHs on Dst is increased and positive polarity CHs is decreased
- In the period around the autumn equinox the situation reverses



## **SOHO EPHIN**

- Electron Proton Helium Instrument (EPHIN)
- onboard SOHO spacecraft
- data available from December 07 1995
- consists of several semiconductor detectors in layers (A-F) and a scintillation detector, operated in anticoincidence





## **SOHO EPHIN data**



Counter B > 4 MeV/n

Anticoincidence Counter

Counter F > 50 MeV/n

- interpolation of small gaps in the data (up to 18h)
- anomalies are calculated subtracting the running mean (54 days)

## CH and CR flux, EPHIN (2007 – 2011)



## CH area and CR flux (EPHIN)



- Correlation with lag 4417 points (1104 days)
- Counter B: r = -0.23 (lag -4.75 days)
- Anticoincidence Counter: r = -0.40 (lag -4.50 days)
- Counter F:
   r = -0.42 (lag -4.5 days)

## Conclusions

- Opportunity to <u>forecast 3-4 days in advance</u> a geoeffectiveness of CIRs as well as their effect on the cosmic ray flux during the solar minimum in the absence of ICMEs
- ap and AE indexes show good relationship to CH area (r=0.35 at lag=-3.25d, r=0.31 at lag=-3.5d) and Dst has slightly lower correlation coefficient (r=-0.24 at lag=-4.5d)
- Forecast limitation is unknown B<sub>z</sub> that doesn't relate to CH
- Cosmic ray flux and CH area show also good correlation (r=0.4 at lag=4.5 d)
- Better forecast could be obtained by including the CH polarity data

## Thank you for your attention!

We acknowledge the support of the Croatian Science Foundation under the project 6212 "Solar and Stellar Variability" (SOLSTEL).