

# Solar Eruptions: the CME-Flare Relationship

Bojan Vršnak

Hvar Observatory, Faculty of Geodesy, University of Zagreb  
Kačićeva 26, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia  
bvrsnak@geof.hr



This work has been supported by *Croatian Scientific Foundation* under the project 6212 „*Solar and Stellar Variability*“ (SOLSTEL). We also acknowledge ESF project PoKRet.



# Basic Questions (?)


- CME (cause) -> flare (consequence)
- Flare (trigger) -> CME (consequence)
- CME/flare feed-back relationship

- ideal instability -> resistive instability
- resistive instability -> ideal instability
- ideal/resistive feed-back relationship

In any case, an unstable/metastable configuration is needed


# Empirical Relationships & Scalings

## Statistical studies






Maricic et al. 2007 SPh 241, 99

Bein et al. 2012 ApJ 755, 44




Vrsnak et al. 2005, A&A 435, 1149





# Empirical Relationships & Scalings

## Case studies




Zhang et al. 2001 ApJ 559, 452

Temmer et al. 2008 ApJ 673, L95  
Temmer et al. 2010 ApJ 712, 1410  
Bein et al. 2012 ApJ 755, 44




# CME Acceleration and “ $v \times B$ ” Proxy




Miklenic et al. 2007 A&A 461, 697

[idea proposed by:  
Poletto & Kopp, 1986]


Qiu & Yurchyshin  
2005, ApJ 634, L121




Qiu et al. 2004, ApJ 604, 900




# Physical Background



"line-tying"



HXR,  $H\alpha$



Mouschovias & Poland, 1978, ApJ 220, 675

Anzer & Pneuman, 1982, SPh 79, 1

Chen, J. 1989, ApJ 338, 453


Vrsnak, B. 1990, SPh 129, 295

Chen, J., Krall, J.: 2003, JGR 108, 1410

....

Temmer et al. 2010

ApJ 712, 1410



# Physical Background – Role of Reconnection


## Forces & Energies

Free energy of  
non-potential  
magnetic field

⇒

Electric current,  $I$

$$E_{\text{mag}} = L I^2 / 2$$





$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \Phi = L I \\ \Phi \approx \text{const.} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow I \propto L^{-1}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} L \propto R \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \Delta I / \Delta R < 0,$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta F_L / \Delta R < 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta E_{\text{mag}} / \Delta R < 0$$

$$\Delta E_{\text{mag}} = \Delta E_{\text{kin}} + \Delta E_{\text{pot}} + W_{\text{drag}}$$



$$X = \operatorname{tg} \theta = B_{\phi} / B_{\parallel}$$

$$\Phi = l X / r, \quad n = \Phi / 2\pi$$

$$n = l / \lambda, \quad n = \text{const.}$$

$$a = a_L - g - a_d$$

$$a_L = A (l/h + l/R - 2l/RX^2) \pm kI/lr$$

$$A = \frac{\mu I^2}{4\pi M} = \frac{B_{\phi}^2}{\mu \rho l} = \frac{X^2 B_{\parallel}^2}{\mu \rho l} \approx \frac{v_A^2}{l} = \frac{l}{\tau_A^2} = l\omega^2$$

in the absence of reconnection:




$$\Phi_e = \text{const.} \propto I l [\ln(8R/r) - 2]$$


$$\Phi_i = \text{const.} \propto I l$$

$$\Rightarrow I \propto l^{-1}, \quad r \propto R, \quad X \propto r/l$$




# Loss of equilibrium






without  
reconnection

with  
reconnection






$$I = \Phi / L$$

a)  $\Phi_0 = \text{const}$

$$I \propto \Phi_0 / L \propto 1/R$$

b)  $\Phi = \Phi_0 + \Delta\Phi$

$$I \propto (\Phi_0 + \Delta\Phi) / L$$



# Scenarios

“Standard” = eruption->flare (dynamical / two-ribbon / CSHKP...):

- evolution through a series of quasiequilibrium states (slow rise)
- onset of ideal instability at critical height (kink, torus,...)
- current sheet formation below erupting structure
- onset of reconnection ( $d/h \sim 1/10$ )
- rapid acceleration stage

“Alternative” = flare->eruption:

- evolution through a series of quasiequilibrium states
- onset of resistive instability = flare
- restructuring by reconnection -> unstable configuration
- eruption -> “standard scenario”

**Thank you  
for  
your attention**