# Forbush Decrease Prediction Based on Remote Solar Observations

M. Dumbović, B. Vršnak Hvar Observatory, Faculty of Geodesy, University of Zagreb, Croatia



This work has been supported in part by *Croatian Scientific Foundation* under the project 6212 *"Solar and Stellar Variability"* (SOLSTEL).



### $CME \rightarrow ICME \rightarrow FD$



CME and associated solar flare (LASCO/SOHO and AIA/SDO)  $\begin{array}{c}
\mathsf{N} \\
\mathsf{T} \\
\mathsf{T} \\
\mathsf{M} \\
\mathsf$ 



ICME in situ parameters (SWEPAM and MAG/ACE)

FD (ground-based neutron monitor measurements)

CMEs lead to disturbances in the solar wind and IMF

Solar wind and IMF modulate CR flux

### FORBUSH DECREASE PREDICTION PROBLEM

Aug 1-10, 2011

WSA-Enlil CME Model



What are we looking at?

CME speed, spatial extend, origin....



Propagation? Magnetic field structure?



Forbush decrease phyisical mechanism not solved

### FORBUSH DECREASE PREDICTION APPROACH



Focus on L1 remote observations and CR flux (without any regard to in situ data) => What can be derived?



### **REMOTE SOLAR OBSERVATIONS** → FD





CME-flare associations (frontsided events)

### **REMOTE SOLAR OBSERVATIONS** → FD



187 CME-flare-CR flux variation associations (not necessarily FD!)

### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS - METHOD



### **STATISTICAL ANALYSIS - METHOD**



CME/flare parameters also grouped and averaged

### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS - METHOD



Overlapping bins method – additional data points (statistics more significant)

### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS - RESULTS



## CME speed, v FD(%) is larger for faster CMEs



CME width, w FD(%) is larger for wider CMEs

### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS - RESULTS



#### **CME/flare** position, r

FD(%) is larger for flares originating close to the center of the solar disc

flare Soft X peak intensity, f FD(%) is larger for stronger flares

CME-CME interaction level, i FD(%) is larger for interacting/multiple CMEs

### THE MODEL



The distribution of observed Forbush decrease magnitudes (FD(%)) resembles the geometric distribution when the following association is made:

<b>k</b> = 1	FD(%) < 1
k = 2	1 < FD(%) < 3
k = 3	3 < FD(%) <6
k = 4	FD(%) > 6

Assumption: ensemble of events = ensemble of possible "states" for 1 event

Results of the statistical analysis are used to construct the probability distribution for each event

Probability distribution is constructed using geometric distribution fitting

### THE MODEL



A constructed probability distribution changes with the solar parameters. However, regardless of the solar parameters the probability distribution always peaks for k=1, i.e. there is always the highest probability that there will be no Forbush effect.





Therefore, thresholds (T1-T5) have to be set and some conditions imposed on the probability distribution to forecast more (k=1,2,3,4) or less (k>1,k>2, k>3) specific Forbush decrease magnitudes

### **EVALUATION**

Evaluation is performed counting the number of "hits" (observed FD equals predicted FD) on the **test sample** (187 CME-flare-FD sample used for the statistical analysis) and **evaluation sample** (independent sample of 42 CME-flare-FD events).







Forecast of less specific FD magnitudes (k<2, k<3, k<4)

### **SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS**

**AIM:** employ remote solar observations for Forbush effect forecast **METHOD:** statistical analysis, distribution fitting **THE MODEL:** empirical, statistical, probabilistic **INPUT:** remote solar observations of CME and associated solar flare **OUTPUT:** expected Forbush decrease magnitude (FD(%)) **DRAWBACKS:** as the forecast tends to be more specific it is less reliable ADVANTAGES: early warning (~1 day), input is not necessarily satellitedependent

# Thank you for your attention!

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:**

This work has received funding from Croatian Science Foundation (project SOLSTEL)





